1	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP JONATHAN C. DICKEY, SBN 088226	
2	PAUL J. COLLINS, SBN 187709 REBECCA JUSTICE LAZARUS, SBN 227330	
3	1881 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304	
4	Telephone: (650) 849-5300 Facsimile: (650) 849-5333	
5	Attorneys for Defendants	
6	GOLDMAN, SACHS & CO., MERRILL LYNCH & CO., MORGAN STANLEY DEAN WITTER,	
7	AND J.P. MORGAN	
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	TOR THE WORTHERW DI	
11	DI EVODUC COMMUNICATIONS DIS	
12	IN re EXODUS COMMUNICATIONS, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION,	CASE NO. C-01-2661-MMC
13		STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER CORRECTED THIRD
14	TI'D (D1)	AMENDED COMPLAINT; [PROPOSED]
17	This Document Relates to:	
	ALL ACTIONS.	ORDER
15	ALL ACTIONS.	
15 16	ALL ACTIONS.	ORDER Complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned
15 16 17	ALL ACTIONS. WHEREAS the Corrected Third Amended action was filed on April 29, 2005 and electronical	ORDER Complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned
15 16 17 18	ALL ACTIONS. WHEREAS the Corrected Third Amended action was filed on April 29, 2005 and electronical	ORDER Complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned ly served on that date; and august 5, 2005 dismissing some of the claims
15 16 17 18 19	ALL ACTIONS. WHEREAS the Corrected Third Amended action was filed on April 29, 2005 and electronical WHEREAS the Court issued an order on A	ORDER Complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned ly served on that date; and august 5, 2005 dismissing some of the claims Complaint and instructing the Underwriter
15 16 17 18 19 20	ALL ACTIONS. WHEREAS the Corrected Third Amended action was filed on April 29, 2005 and electronical WHEREAS the Court issued an order on A asserted against the Underwriter Defendants in the Defendants to answer the Complaint by September	Complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned ly served on that date; and august 5, 2005 dismissing some of the claims Complaint and instructing the Underwriter 6, 2005; and
115 116 117 118 119 220 221	ALL ACTIONS. WHEREAS the Corrected Third Amended action was filed on April 29, 2005 and electronical WHEREAS the Court issued an order on A asserted against the Underwriter Defendants in the Defendants to answer the Complaint by September WHEREAS the Court, upon motion for recompleted.	Complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned ly served on that date; and august 5, 2005 dismissing some of the claims Complaint and instructing the Underwriter 6, 2005; and consideration, denied defendant Ellen Hancock's
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ALL ACTIONS. WHEREAS the Corrected Third Amended action was filed on April 29, 2005 and electronical WHEREAS the Court issued an order on A asserted against the Underwriter Defendants in the Defendants to answer the Complaint by September WHEREAS the Court, upon motion for recompliant to dismiss in part and directed Hancock to a series of the court of t	Complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned ly served on that date; and august 5, 2005 dismissing some of the claims Complaint and instructing the Underwriter 6, 2005; and consideration, denied defendant Ellen Hancock's
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ALL ACTIONS. WHEREAS the Corrected Third Amended action was filed on April 29, 2005 and electronical WHEREAS the Court issued an order on A asserted against the Underwriter Defendants in the Defendants to answer the Complaint by September WHEREAS the Court, upon motion for recomotion to dismiss in part and directed Hancock to 2005; and	Complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned ly served on that date; and august 5, 2005 dismissing some of the claims Complaint and instructing the Underwriter 6, 2005; and consideration, denied defendant Ellen Hancock's answer the Complaint on or before October 3,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ALL ACTIONS. WHEREAS the Corrected Third Amended action was filed on April 29, 2005 and electronical WHEREAS the Court issued an order on A asserted against the Underwriter Defendants in the Defendants to answer the Complaint by September WHEREAS the Court, upon motion for recomotion to dismiss in part and directed Hancock to 2005; and WHEREAS the parties previously stipulate	Complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned ly served on that date; and august 5, 2005 dismissing some of the claims Complaint and instructing the Underwriter 6, 2005; and consideration, denied defendant Ellen Hancock's answer the Complaint on or before October 3,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WHEREAS the Corrected Third Amended action was filed on April 29, 2005 and electronical WHEREAS the Court issued an order on A asserted against the Underwriter Defendants in the Defendants to answer the Complaint by September WHEREAS the Court, upon motion for recomotion to dismiss in part and directed Hancock to 2005; and WHEREAS the parties previously stipulate Defendants to answer the Complaint in this action	Complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned ly served on that date; and august 5, 2005 dismissing some of the claims Complaint and instructing the Underwriter 6, 2005; and consideration, denied defendant Ellen Hancock's answer the Complaint on or before October 3, ed to extend the time for the Underwriter through and including October 3, 2005;
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	WHEREAS the Corrected Third Amended action was filed on April 29, 2005 and electronical WHEREAS the Court issued an order on A asserted against the Underwriter Defendants in the Defendants to answer the Complaint by September WHEREAS the Court, upon motion for recomotion to dismiss in part and directed Hancock to 2005; and WHEREAS the parties previously stipulate Defendants to answer the Complaint in this action	Complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned ly served on that date; and august 5, 2005 dismissing some of the claims Complaint and instructing the Underwriter 6, 2005; and consideration, denied defendant Ellen Hancock's answer the Complaint on or before October 3,

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Case 3:01-cv-02661-MMC Document 269 Filed 10/03/05 Page 2 of 3

1 WHEREAS all parties have agreed to extend the time within which defendants may answer 2 the Complaint up through and including October 11, 2005, which is still in advance of the case 3 management conference; and 4 WHEREAS all parties have further agreed to schedule the case management conference to 5 occur on October 28, 2005 in order to accommodate conflicts in the parties' schedules; 6 NOW THEREFORE, the parties hereby STIPULATE AND AGREE, and request the Court to 7 order, as follows: 8 All Defendants shall have to and including October 11, 2005 within which to file their 9 answers to the Complaint. The case management conference originally scheduled for October 21, 10 2005 is continued to October 28, 2005. 11 DATED: September 30, 2005 12 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 13 14 By: /s:/ Paul J. Collins Paul J. Collins 15 Attorneys for Underwriter Defendants 16 GOLDMAN, SACHS & CO., MERRILL LYNCH & CO., MORGAN STANLEY DEAN WITTER, AND 17 J.P. MORGAN 18 DATED: September 30, 2005 19 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 20 21 By: /s:/ David M. Furbush 22 David M. Furbush 23 Attorneys for Individual Defendants R. MARSHALL CASE, DICK STOLZ, HERBERT A. 24 DOLLAHITE, ADAM W. WEGNER, SAM S. MOHAMAD, BEVERLY BROWN, WILLIAM 25 YEACK, ELLEN M. HANCOCK 26 27 28

Gibson, Dunn &

DATED: September 30, 2005 LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & **ROBBINS LLP** By: /s:/ Reed R. Kathrein Reed R. Kathrein Attorneys for Plaintiffs The Underwriter Defendants' time within which to answer the Corrected Third Amended Complaint is hereby extended to and including October 11, 2005. The case management conference originally scheduled for October 21, 2005 is continued to October 28, 2005. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: October 3, 2005 Mafine M. Cher UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 40217166_1.DOC

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP